

35

ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНОЙ ФИЗИКИ  
СО АН СССР

V.A.Dzuba, V.V.Flambaum, O.P.Sushkov

ENERGY LEVELS OF FRANCIUM

PREPRINT 82-127



Новосибирск

ENERGY LEVELS OF FRANCIUM

V.A.Dzuba, V.V.Flambaum,  
O.P.Sushkov

Institute of Nuclear Physics,  
630090, Novosibirsk 90, USSR

A b s t r a c t

Many-body perturbation theory is used for relativistic calculations of energy levels and of fine-structure intervals for 20 lower states of francium. The zeroth approximation is obtained by the relativistic Hartree-Fock method. The second order correlation corrections and Lamb-shifts are calculated. The accuracy for the s,p and f energy levels is not worse than 0.5%.

We are interested in the energy levels of external electrons, which are located near the boundary of francium spectrum, i.e., from the energy of ion  $\text{Fr}^+$ . Therefore, it is convenient to use the wave functions of an ion  $\text{Fr}^+$ , found by HLL method as the zeroth approximation for the wave functions of the atom of Fr. The wave functions of the states of external electron (for discrete and continuous spectrum) are then calculated in the field of the frozen core approximation. In this case, the correlation corrections are calculated according to the formulas presented in [2]. The spin-orbit interaction and retardation for the external electrons in heavy atoms can be neglected [2,3]. The radiative corrections (Lamb-shift) could be significant in heavy atoms because it increases rapidly as  $Z$  grows. There is a difficulty in evaluating it. The Lamb-shift for a singly-ionized level of francium can be calculated in the lowest order in perturbation theory by the method of [4]. It is proportional to the density of wave function at  $r=0$  and is given by [4]  $\Delta E_{\text{Lamb}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha^2 \hbar^2 |\psi(0)|^2$  for the external electron in a heavy atom. The Lamb-shift in francium

INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS  
ULAN-ULAN, MONGOLIA  
P.O. BOX 293  
MONGOLIA

Many-body perturbation theory is used for relativistic calculations of energy levels and of fine structure intervals for 50 lower states of Francium. The zeroth approximation is obtained by the relativistic Hartree-Fock method. The second order correlation corrections and Lamb-shifts are calculated. The accuracy for the s, p and f energy levels is not worse than 0.5%.

As far as we know, until now the position of the energy levels of Fr has not been measured. (Only the wavelength of transition  $7s-7p_{3/2}$  is known /1/). On the other hand, there is the possibility of relatively exact calculation of the position of these levels with the help of many-body perturbation theory. We have made such a calculation for Cs /2/. The position of the s, p and f levels and the fine structure (FS) of the p and f levels has proved to be reproduced with an accuracy of  $0.1 \pm 0.4\%$  and with an accuracy of  $1 \pm 2\%$ , respectively. Exception is the  $d$ -states for which the correlation corrections are very large. For example, the zeroth approximation (relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) method) gives the wrong sign of the FS. Taking into account the second order correlation correction makes the situation much better, but the contribution of the higher orders, which is not taken into account, turns out to be rather large ( $5d$  : 3% for energy and 35% for the FS,  $6d$  : 0.1% for energy and 12% for the FS). Because the Fr atom is an analog of Cs, the accuracy of determination of the position of s, p and f levels can be expected to be not worse than 0.5% as well. And the position of  $d$ -levels can be corrected by extrapolating the higher-order correlation corrections from Cs.

We are interested in the energy levels of external electron, which are counted from the boundary of continuous spectrum, i.e. from the energy of ion  $Fr^+$ . Therefore, it is convenient to use the wave functions of an ion  $Fr^+$ , found by RHF method as the zeroth approximation for the wave functions of the core of Fr. The wave functions of the states of external electron (for discrete and continuum spectrum) are then calculated in the field of the frozen core (approximation  $\psi^{N-1}$ ). In this case, the correlation corrections are calculated according to the formulas presented in /2/. The magnetic interaction and retardation for the external electron in heavy atom may be neglected /2,3/. The radiative correction (Lamb-shift) could be significant in heavy atoms because it increases fastly ( $\sim Z^2$ ) as  $Z$  grows. There is no difficulty in evaluating it. The Lamb-shift for a highly-excited level of electron in the Coulomb field is proportional to the density of wave function at  $r \rightarrow 0$  (to be precise, at  $r \leq a_0/Z$ ). For the external electron in a heavy atom the Lamb-shift is also deter-

mined by the region  $r \lesssim a_0/Z$ , because the contribution from the region  $r \sim a_0$  does not increase with  $Z$ . In the region  $r \lesssim a_0/Z$  the Coulomb field is not shielded and the wave function of electron differs only by normalization from the Coulomb one /4/:

$$\frac{|\psi(r \rightarrow 0)|^2}{|\psi_{\text{Coul}}(r \rightarrow 0)|^2} = \frac{n^3}{\nu^3 Z^2} \left(1 - \frac{dS}{dn}\right) \quad (1)$$

where  $S = n - \nu$ ,  $n$  is the principal quantum number,  $\nu$  is the effective principal quantum number ( $E = -Ry/\nu^2$ ). The Lamb-shift of Coulomb levels  $S_{1/2}$  and  $P_{1/2}$  from  $Z = 10$  to  $Z = 100$  has been calculated in Ref. /5/. Using its results, one obtains:

$$\Delta E_{\text{LAMB}} = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{\nu^3} \left(1 - \frac{dS}{dn}\right) F(Z\alpha) \cdot Ry \quad (2)$$

where  $F(Z\alpha)$  is the function which is weakly  $Z$ -dependent (and is tabulated in /5/). At  $Z = 87$   $F(Z\alpha) = 2.13$  for  $S_{1/2}$  and  $F(Z\alpha) = 0.26$  for  $P_{1/2}$ . Unfortunately, we don't know  $F(Z\alpha)$  for the other orbitals. However, the estimates according to the nonrelativistic formulas, show that it is small. For example, the contribution to fine structure is given by a formula

$$\Delta E_{\text{LAMB}} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \Delta E_{\text{FS}} \quad (3)$$

$\Delta E_{\text{FS}}$  - spin-orbit splitting. The contributions from the zeroth approximation (RHF) and the correlation corrections to the lower energy levels and to the FS intervals of Fr are presented in Tables 1 and 2. In addition, Table 1 also presents the Lamb-shift of s-levels. Tables 3 and 4 give the same contributions for Cs. For convenience in the comparison with the results for Fr, the contributions to the FS of CS are multiplied by the factor  $(Z(\text{Fr})/Z(\text{Cs}))^2$ . It is seen that both the value of energy and the correlation correction in  $n+1$  - level of Fr prove to be close to those in  $n$ -level of Cs.

The calculated value of the wavelength of transition  $7s-7p_{3/2}$  in Fr ( $\lambda = 714$  nm) has turned out to be 0.6% less than the experimental one ( $\lambda = 717.97 \pm 0.01$  nm /1/). It corresponds to the error  $\Delta E/E = 0.25\%$  in the position of  $7s$  -level. Proceeding from the known value of the wavelength of transition  $7s - 7p_{3/2}$  and from the higher order correlation corrections in

Cs (remind that both the zeroth and second approximation in Cs and Fr have proven to be fairly close), we have made an attempt to refine the position of energy levels of Fr. The final results for the states with  $\nu < 5$  are given in Table 5. The levels  $10s$ ,  $5d$ , and  $6f$  have been obtained by extrapolating the correlation correction (it behaves like  $1/\nu^3$  at large  $\nu$ ). The levels with  $\nu > 5$ , not presented in the Table, are given, within good accuracy, by the Rydberg formula. Calculation of the position of  $7s$ ,  $7p$ ,  $8p$  levels has been previously made in Ref. /6/. In that paper the energy levels of Fr have been first calculated in the Hartree-Slater approximation (without taking into account the correlation corrections) and then corrected, proceeding from the calculated and experimental data on Cs,  $\text{Ba}^+$ , and  $\text{Ra}^+$ . Our results on the  $7s$ ,  $7p$ ,  $8p$  levels coincide, practically, with the results from /6/.

The authors are grateful to I.B.Khriplovich for his interest in the presented work and helpful discussions.

Table 1

The values of energy levels of Fr, obtained by the RHF method, correlation corrections to them and the Lamb-shifts ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). We don't present energies of  $j = \ell - 1/2$  -levels, since  $|E(j = \ell - 1/2)| = |E(j = \ell + 1/2)| + \Delta E(FS)$ ;  $\Delta E(FS)$  see in Table 2.

	RHF	Correlations	Lamb-shift	Sum
7s	-28704	-4297	77	-32924
8s	-12261	-935	19	-13177
9s	-6851	-365	7	-7209
7p <sub>3/2</sub>	-17651	-1259	-	-18910
8p <sub>3/2</sub>	-8810	-395	-	-9205
9p <sub>3/2</sub>	-5318	-187	-	-5505
6d <sub>5/2</sub>	-13927	-2027	-	-15954
7d <sub>5/2</sub>	-7748	-777	-	-8525
5f <sub>7/2</sub>	-6867	-86	-	-6953

Table 2

Fine structure intervals of Fr, obtained by the RHF method, and the correlation corrections to them ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )

	RHF	Correlations	Sum
7p	1203	457	1660
8p	430	116	546
9p	203	47	250
6d	-98.0	182.9	84.9
7d	-21.4	115.3	93.9
5f	-0.920	-0.024	-0.944

Table 3

The values of energy levels of Cs, obtained by the RHF method, correlation corrections to them and Lamb-shifts ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )

	RHF	Correlations	Lamb-shift	Sum	$E_{\text{exp}} - E_{\text{theor}}$
6s	-27926	-3514	28	-31412	-5
7s	-12104	-820	7	-12917	-45
8s	-6790	-326	3	-7113	-23
6p <sub>3/2</sub>	-18388	-1279	-	-19667	8
7p <sub>3/2</sub>	-9079	-399	-	-9478	-18
5d <sub>5/2</sub>	-14168	-2150	-	-16318	492
6d <sub>5/2</sub>	-7924	-856	-	-8780	-5
4f <sub>7/2</sub>	-6865	-61	-	-6916	9

Table 4

Fine structure intervals of Fr, multiplied by the factor  $[Z(\text{Fr})/Z(\text{Cs})]^2 = 2.502 (\text{cm}^{-1})$

	RHF	Correlations	Sum	$E_{\text{exp}} - E_{\text{theor}}$
6p	1011	380	1391	-5
7p	378	85	463	-10
5d	-55	213	158	86.6
6d	0.07	120	120	-12.5
4f	-0.543	0.093	-0.450	-0.003

Table 5

The corrected energy levels of Fr

Interval		Interval	
7s	-32841	9s	-7182
7p <sub>1/2</sub>	-20568	5f <sub>5/2</sub>	-6952
	1655		-0.944
7p <sub>3/2</sub>	-18913	5f <sub>7/2</sub>	-6953
6d <sub>3/2</sub>	-16596	9p <sub>1/2</sub>	-5754
	~150		249
6d <sub>5/2</sub>	-16446	9p <sub>3/2</sub>	-5505
8s	-13136	8d <sub>3/2</sub>	-5280
			~ 50
8p <sub>1/2</sub>	-9745	8d <sub>5/2</sub>	-5230
	540		
8p <sub>3/2</sub>	-9205	10s	-4540
7d <sub>3/2</sub>	-8614	6f	-4439
	90		
7d <sub>5/2</sub>	-8524	5g	-4400
	limit		0

## References

1. S.Liberman, J.Pinard, H.T.Duong, P.Juncar, P.Pillet, J.-L.Vialle, P.Jacquinet, F.Touchard, S.Büttgenbach, C.Thibault, M. de Saint-Simon. R.Klapisch, A.Pesnelle, G.Huber, Phys. Rev. 22A (1980) 2732.
2. V.A.Dzuba, V.V.Flambaum, O.P.Sushkov, Preprint IYF 85-82 (1982), J. Phys. B, in press.
3. N.C.Pyper and P. Marketos, J. Phys., 14B (1981) 4469.
4. I.I.Gol'dman, V.D.Krivchenko, Problems in Quantum Mechanics (GITTL, Moscow, 1957; Addison-Wesley, 1961).
5. P.J.Mohr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 1050.
6. H.Lundberg, A.Rosen, Z. Phys. 286A (1978). 329.